

The state of the s

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20456

GC/HM4:0018 4650 12/4/85

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

ŧ

Mr. George E. Meyers
President/Chief Executive Officer
Defense Credit Union Council
1730 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Dear Mr. Meyers:

This is in response to your letter of November 6, 1985, concerning whether or not a Federal credit union (FCU) can charge a different loan interest rate at a remote branch office location than at its home office.

It is our opinion that an FCU would not be in violation of either the FCU Act or the NCUA Rules and Regulations by charging a different loan interest rate at a branch office than it does at its main office. However, such varying rates could potentially present problems under other Federal statutes and regulations. For example, problems of discrimination could arise under the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and its implementing regulation, Regulation B. We suggest that each FCU's loan policy be reviewed to ascertain that a legally supportable business reason exists for the disparate interest rates.

We hope that we have been of assistance. If further questions arise, please contact Hattie Ulan of this Office.

Sincerely,

STEVEN R. BISKER

Assistant General Counsel

HMU:cch

FOIR US I CI