



NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION
Washington, D.C. 20456

Office of General Counsel

Mr. Robert N. Veeder
The Office of Management and
Budget
Office of Information and
Regulatory Affairs
NEOB Room 3235
Washington, DC 20503

GC/HMU:cch
3224
5/7/86

Dear Mr. Veeder:

Enclosed please find the original and two copies of Section I of the National Credit Union Administration's 1985 Annual Privacy Act Report. Section II will be submitted by June 30, 1986. If questions arise, please contact Hattie Ulan of the Office of General Counsel at (202) 357-1030.

Sincerely,

(S)

STEVEN R. BISKER
Assistant General Counsel

HMU:cch

Enclosure

From file - Vol. IV G 2 - Privacy Act

Section I.

Report information for calendar year 1985. Aggregate data at the agency level; do not report components separately.

A. Privacy Points of Contact:

Provide the names, titles, telephone numbers and mailing addresses of the agency individuals who are responsible for implementing the Privacy Act in your agency:

1. Senior Agency Official:

Name Ben Henson

Address Director, Administrative Office

National Credit Union Administration

1776 G Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20456

Telephone (202) 357-1055

2. Privacy Officer:

Name Wilmer Theard

Address Administrative Office

Director, Administrative Procedures Division
National Credit Union Administration

1776 G Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20456

Telephone (202) 357-1055

3. Legal Counsel:

Name: Steven R. Bisker

Address Assistant General Counsel

National Credit Union Administration

1776 G Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20456

Telephone (202) 357-1030

B. Publication Data:

Provide the following information as of December 31, 1985:

1. Total number of active systems:

<u>19</u>	<u>4</u>
nonexempt	exempt

2. Number of new systems published during 1985:

<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
nonexempt	exempt

3. Number of systems deleted during 1985:

<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
nonexempt	exempt

4. Number of systems automated, either in whole or part, during 1985:

<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
nonexempt	exempt

5. Number of existing systems for which new routine uses were established, during 1985:

<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
nonexempt	exempt

6. Number of existing systems for which new exemptions were claimed.

<u>0</u>

7. Number of existing systems from which exemptions were deleted.

<u>0</u>

8. Number of public comments received on agency publication of rules or notices:

<u>0</u>

9. Briefly discuss any comments received and any action taken based on comments received, including those received from OMB or the Congress. Attach continuation sheet if necessary. Attach copies of

any Congressional correspondence.

C. Individuals' Exercise of Rights of Access & Amendment:

(Note, access and amendment requests are defined as requests from record subjects for access to agency records that are (1) about themselves; (2) located in systems of records; and (3) which cite the Privacy Act of 1974 as the basis for the request).

1. Total number of requests for access:
101
2. Number of requests wholly or partially granted:
99
3. Number of requests totally denied:
0
4. Number of requests for which no record was found:
2
5. Number of appeals of denials of access:
0
6. Number of appeals in which denial was upheld:
0
7. Number of appeals in which denial was overturned either in whole or part:
0
8. Number of requests to amend records in system:
0
9. Number of amendment requests wholly or partially granted:
0
10. Number of amendment requests totally denied:
0

11. Number of appeals of denials of amendment requests:

0

12. Number of appeals in which denial was upheld:

0

13. Number in which denial was overturned either in whole or in part:

0

14. If your agency denied any access requests on a basis other than the provisions of sections (d)(5), (j), or (k) of the Privacy Act, explain the rationale for your denial.

15. Number of instances in which individuals litigated the results of appeals of access or amendment:

1

This is a result of a 1984 FOIA/Privacy Act request that was not denied. Plaintiff claims that not all information requested was given to him.

16. The results of such litigation.

Litigation in process. See John Gard v. Chairman, NCUA, Civ. No. 85-2163, U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado.

D. Matching Programs:

(See the definition of a matching program in OMB's revised Matching Guidelines, 47 FR 1656, May 19, 1982):

1. How many matching programs did your agency participate in as a matching agency during 1985?

0

2. How many as a source agency?

0

3. On what date(s) were any matching reports required by the Guidelines published in the Federal Register and provided to OMB and the Congress?

Not applicable.

E. Agency Analysis of Activities:

Submit a brief analysis of the data provided above. The analysis should show how the data supports the agency's efforts to comply with the objectives of the Act. The analysis should also consider the relationship of the data to the objectives of the Act.

earlier reports. Agencies should also identify significant ongoing or completed activities designed to improve administration of the Act, e.g., review of routine uses, publication of revised rules, review of application of exemption provisions, improvements in public access to records, etc.

This information is due to OMB no later than April 30, 1986.